22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

PUCH & WEBSTE Attorneys at Law 756 Rio Street Red Bluff, California Telephone: 800

Attorneys for Defendants Grace Trumball Reed, Elmer C. Marion and Mary Marion, his wife, and Wallace J. Smith

> AND ENTERED MAR 1.3 (1997 ALICE E. DIVIN

Description IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TEHAMA

JAMES ARCHIE BENNETT, et al,

Plaintiffs.

No. 7814

Vs.

CHARLES WESLEY REED, et al,

JUDGMENT

Defendants.

The above entitled action having on September 22, 1948, been duly and regularly referred to the Department of Public Works Division of Water Resources of the State of California, as Referee to investigate and report to this court as provided by law; and said Referee having duly investigated and filed herein Findings of Fact and proposed Conclusions of Law, and the Court having, on July 28, 1955, ordered the adoption and approval of said Referee's Report; and the Court being fully advised in the premises and having made its written Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and directed that Judgment be entered in accordance therewith;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That LOETA BENNETT and ULRICA RONEY, also known as UIRICA BENNETT RONEY, the successors in interest to plaintiff JAMES ARCHIE BENNETT in and to the property formerly owned by said JAMES ARCHIE BENNETT and the subject of this action, are entitled to all the rights accruing to, and are bound by all of the obligations imposed on, said plaintiff JAMES ARCHIE BENNETT under this judgment to the same extent that said plaintiff JAMES ARCHIE BENNEY

H & WEBSTER LUFF, CALIFORNIA

JUDGMENT BOOK 5

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

26

29

.31

32

- 2. That plaintiffs ULRICA RONEY and LOETA BENNETT and defendants ELWIN A. RONEY and GEORGE U. RONEY, EUGENE EDGAR and RILLA JOY EDGAR, FRANK H. DUNCAN and JANE DOE DUNCAN, JAMES BATES and JANE DOE BATES, and MARSE SPEEGLE and DELLA SPEEGLE have no right to the water of Pine Creek or in and to the use thereof.

  LOETA BENNETT and ULRICA RONEY, successors to plaintiff JAMES ARCHIE BENNETT, and defendants FRED BROWN and JESSICA BROWN have only such rights to the use of the water of Pine Creek as inure to their lands by virtue of the riparian character of such lands, which rights are subordinate and subject to the other rights which are hereinafter adjudged and decreed to belong to the parties to these actions and to their successors in interest.
- 3. That E. E. WILSON and NINA JUNE WILSON, successors to the interest of ALBERT C. AIKEN as set forth in the case of Aiken vs. Harris, Superior Court, Tehama County, No. 3296, and successors in interest to plaintiff S. A. GATES, are the owners of the Phillips Ditch, and are entitled to enter upon the lands of defendants GRACE TRUMBULL REED and ELMER C. MARION and MARY MARION and of all other persons whose land is traversed by said Phillips Ditch for the purpose of making all reasonable repairs to said ditch and to keep said ditch clean so that the flow of water therein is not interrupted.
- 4. That defendants ELMER C. MARION and MARY MARION are entitled to divert the amounts of water to which they are entitled as hereinafter set forth in Paragraph 5 through the Phillips Ditch and to redivert from said ditch said amounts of water and no more at a point approximately two hundred sixty feet below the head of said ditch within the SE 1/4 NE 1/4 of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M., provided, however, that said ELMER C. MARION and MARY MARION shall at all times maintain an adequate and proper control structure and measuring device at the said point of rediversion.

4 & WEBSTER IRNEYS AT LAW UFF, CALIFORNIA

5. Tr t plaintiff GEORGE W. RON and WALLACE J. SMITH
and ELEANOR M. SMITH, successors to defendants LEE STEPHENSON,
C. E. SHIVES, C. F. PETERS and JANE DOE PETERS, and defendants
GRACE TRUMBULL REED, ELMER C. MARION and MARY MARION, and said
E. E. WILSON and NINA JUNE WILSON are entitled to rights in and to
the use of the natural flow of Pine Creek, during the seasons
hereinafter stated in Paragraph 6, for domestic, stockwatering
and irrigation purposes upon their respective lands as shown on
the Division of Water Resources Map incorporated as Plate 1 in the
Report of Referee on file herein, which map is by this reference
incorporated beauty and the map is by this reference
incorporated herein and made a part hereof, and as described under
their respective names in Schedule 1 appended hereto and by this
reference incorporated herein and made a part hereof, in accordance
with the acreages to be supplied and quantities of water allotted,
at the points of diversion as numbered and through the diversion
systems as set forth after their respective names, to wit:

17			• •	•	•		<i>.</i>	` ,
Name of Partys 20	d .	: : Acreage : to be :Supplied	:Diver- : sion :Number :as per :D.W.R. : Map	Name of	First Priority	Second	er Second : Third :Priority	
leorge W F	Ji •	7.0	. 1	Jones	0.20			0.20
lallace J and Eleand Smith 23	Smith	84.0	2	Scofield & Houseman	0.50	• •	1.20	1.70
race Trumb Reed 24	111	94.2	3	Reed	0.30		0.90	1.20
Imer C. 25 and Mary M	arion	49.5	4 5	Phillips Marion Pump	0.50		0.30	0.80
E. Wilso Nina June 28	n and Vilson	157.4	4	Phillips		1.50	•	1.50
Totals 29		392.1			1.50	1.50	2,40	5.40
30						<del></del>	<del></del> .	

Said rights in "first priority class" are equal in priority and correlative in right, but are superior in priority and in right

A WEBSTER

to all other r: .ts on said Pine Creek, a - at all times when the available water supply of Pine Creek is inadequate to supply all of said rights in "first priority class", then during the continuance of such shortage, the owners of such rights shall prorate the available water supply in accordance with the respective allotments in said "first priority class" as set forth herein. Said right in "second priority class" is subject and inferior to said rights in "first priority class", but is superior in priority and in right to all other water rights on said Pine Creek. Said rights in "third priority class" are equal in priority and correlative in right, are subject and inferior to said rights in "first priority class" and "second priority class", but are superior in priority and in right to all other water rights on said Pine Creek, and at all times when the available water supply of Pine Creek is inadequate to supply all of said rights in " third priority class", then durid: the continuance of such shortage, the owners of such rights shall prorate the available water supply, if any, in excess of the quantity required to supply said prior rights in accordance with their respective allotments in said "third priority class" as set forth herein, provided however, that said E. E. WILSON and NINA JUNE WILSON are entitled to divert no greater portion of the 1.50 cubic feet per second allocated herein than is necessary to deliver 0.75 cubic foot per second at the point where the Phillips Ditch enters their lands in the Eg of Lot 1 of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M.

6. That all allotments set forth in "first priority class" and "second priority class" shall be for continuous usage during the season from March 1 to October 31, both dates inclusive, of each and every year for domestic, stockwatering and irrigation purposes and throughout the remainder of the year for domestic and stockwatering purposes.

That all allotments set forth in "third priority class"

SH & WEBSTER

2

3

5

6

7

8

. 9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

27

28

30

31

32

. **2**5 26

shall be for continuous usage during the season from March 1 to October 31, both dates inclusive, of each and every year for  $ge_{\text{her}}$  irrigation purposes.

- 7. That the points of measurement of all allotments of water herein set forth shall be at or near the respective points of diversion from Pine Creek.
- 8. That although all quantities of water herein allotted to the several parties for direct application to beneficial use are severally expressed in terms of continuous flow, nevertheless, nothing herein contained shall be construed as limiting or restrict the rights of any such parties to rotate in the use of water, or the right of any party hereto to divert for limited periods of time convenient "irrigation heads" and thus apply water to his lands at a greater rate than that indicated by the continuous flow so allots provided, that such practice of rotation or use of "irrigation head shall not result in the use by any such party of a total quantity of water during any thirty day period in excess of the equivalent of such party's continuous flow allowance for thirty days; and provided further, that such practice of rotation or such use of "irrigation heads" shall not impair or infringe the right of any other such party.
- 9. That nothing herein contained shall, or shall be constrto, prevent any of the parties hereto, who jointly use a ditch, when there is a continuous flow allotment for use through said ditch, from the employing by agreement of such joint users of said ditch a system of rotation in use as among themselves or prevent any party hereto who has allotments to two or more ditches, from using all or any portion of the summation of such allotments through each or any of said ditches on all or any portion of his lands; provided the total quantity of water diverted by said party at any time shall not exceed said summation of such allotments; and provided further, that such practice of "pooling allotments" shall not impair or infringe the

GH & WEBSTER TRANSPE AT LAW BLUFF, CALIFORNIA . 6

16.

rights of any ther such party.

10. That each of the parties shall be, and they, jointly and severally, hereby are perpetually enjoined and restrained from doing any act or thing in violation of the provisions of this decree, or from diverting any water from said Pine Creek at any time in violation of the terms hereof, or from diverting any of such water at any time in excess of a quantity of water reasonably necessary for and being put to beneficial use, or from doing anything, directly or indirectly, that will obstruct or interfere with any other right adjudged and decreed herein.

11. The court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction of the parties hereto and their successors in interest, and of the subject matter hereof, and also jurisdiction to review this or any further decree and to change and modify the same as the interests of justice may require, upon application of any party hereto or his successor in interest.

12. Each party to this action shall bear his own costs of suit, and those parties named in Chapter VI, page 28b, of the Report of Referee on file herein shall bear the costs therein charged against them respectively.

Done in Open Court this 130 day of March, 1957.

Judge Presiding

GH & WEBSTER

#### SCHEDULE 1

### DESCRIPTION OF PLACES OF USE

# James Bates and Jame Doe Bates (Undeveloped)

South central portion of Lot 1 of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M.
Northwesterly portion of NE SW of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M.

Glenn Beauchamp, et al. (Stock water)

Lote3 of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M.

## James Archie Bennett ( Stock water)

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11 12

13

14 15 16

. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

26

27

28 29

30

31 32 Lot 1 of NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. Lot 2 of NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. Lot 1 of NV $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. Lot 2 of NV $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. SE $\frac{1}{4}$  SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 35, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. SW $\frac{1}{4}$  SV $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M.

## Fred Brown and Jessica Brown (Stock water)

NW $_{\overline{b}}^{1}$  of Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. NE $_{\overline{b}}^{1}$  SE $_{\overline{b}}^{1}$  of Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. NW $_{\overline{a}}^{1}$  SW $_{\overline{a}}^{1}$  of Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. S $_{\overline{c}}^{1}$  of NW $_{\overline{a}}^{1}$  of Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M.

### E.E. Wilson and Nina June Wilson

61.9 acres in Lot 1 of NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B.

& M.

43.9 acres in Lot 2 of NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B.

30.8 acres in Lot 1 of NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B.

& M.

20.8 acres in NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. &M.

157.4 acres - Total

### Elmer C. Marion and Mary Marion

14.5 acres in NE $\frac{1}{4}$  SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 13.9 acres in SE $\frac{1}{4}$  NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 19.9 acres in SW $\frac{1}{4}$  NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 1.2 acres in NW $\frac{1}{4}$  NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 49.5 acres - Total

#### Grace Trumbull Reed

13.8 acres in SE $\frac{1}{4}$  NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B.&M. 12.4 acres in SV $\frac{1}{4}$  NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, MD.B. & M. 33.0 acres in NE $\frac{1}{4}$  SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 35.0 acres in NV $\frac{1}{4}$  SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 31, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 94.2 acres - Total

#### George W. Roney

0.8 acre in  $SE_{\nu}^{1}$   $NW_{\nu}^{1}$  of Section 33, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 6.2 acres in  $SW_{\nu}^{1}$   $NW_{\nu}^{1}$  of Section 33, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 7.0 acres - Total

SH & WEBSTER TERRETE AT LAW SLUFF, CALIFORNIA

```
1
                                         Elwin A. Roney and George U. Roney (stock water)
                                                    NW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}} SE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}} of Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. SW_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}} SE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}} of Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. SE_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}} SW Section 36, T 24 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M.
                                2
                               3
                                        Wallace J. Smith and Eleanor M. Smith
                                4
                                                   6.8 acres in SE_{\psi}^{1} NE_{\psi}^{1} of Section 32, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 14.8 acres in SV_{\psi}^{1} NE_{\psi}^{1} of Section 32, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 12.4 acres in NE_{\psi}^{1} SV_{\psi}^{1} of Section 32, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 19.2 acres in NV_{\psi}^{1} SV_{\psi}^{1} of Section 32, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 16.0 acres in SE_{\psi}^{1} NV_{\psi}^{1} of Section 32, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M. 14.8 acres in SV_{\psi}^{1} NV_{\psi}^{1} of Section 32, T 24 N, R 1 E, M.D.B. & M.
                               5
                               6
                               7
                                                    84.0 acres - Total
                                       W. Webster and Etta Webster
                               9
                                                   13.2 acres in NW_0^1 SE_0^1 of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. 9.2 acres in SW_0^1 SE_0^1 of Section 3, T 23 N, R 1 W, M.D.B. & M. 22.4 acres - Total
                            10
                            11
                            12
                            13
                            15
                            16
                           17
                           18
                           19
                           20
                          21
                          22
                          23
                          25
                          26
                          27
                         29
                         30
                        31
                        32
QH & WESSTER
```